4/18/89

Number 251

AGS Studies Report

Date(s) April 12, 1989 Time(s) 0900 - 1500

Experimenter(s) L. Ahrens, J.W. Glenn, E. Gill, K. Reece, E. Raka, W. van Asselt

Reported by E. Raka

Subject Longitudinal Instability on 1.5 GeV (KE) Flattop

The AGS was set up for a 150 msec flattop at ≈ 1.5 GeV kinetic energy. Intensity was adjusted to be between 0.6 and 1 x 10^{13} which was extracted for SEB users. At 6 x 10^{12} on the flattop the n = 1, m = 1 instability was easily obtained when the flattop field was adjusted to give an rf frequency 4.17 MHz. The maximum growth rate at 6 x 10^{12} was obtained at about f_{rf} = 4.182 MHz. The bandwidth for the threshold at this intensity was $\geq \pm$ 20 kc of rf frequency change.

A systematic search for another mode (n = 2 or 11) was made by changing the flattop field in steps that gave ≈ 10 kc changes in f_{rf} at a fixed machine radius. We found no evidence of these modes for changes of − 180 kc to > + 153 kc in f_{rf}. On the low side for f_{rf} = 4.00 MHz, the kinetic energy is ≈ 1.21 GeV, i.e., essentially the expected minimum Booster extraction energy. Hence, as long as we stay below the energy corresponding to f_{rf} = 4.160 MHz, there should be no problem for Booster injection.

We note that above 4.2 MHz, there appeared an m = 2, n = 0 instability presumably due to the rf system itself. By adjusting the bunch shape damping system controls, this instability was suppressed. Again, around 4.10 MHz, a small amount of m = 2, n = 0 instability was observed when the BSD system was disabled. While sitting on the flat-top at 4.18 MHz, we observed the rf station gap voltages on the spectrum analyzer at k = 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, but could see no evidence for a large signal at ($kf_{rf} - f_o$).

It is not understood why an m = 1, n = 2 or 11 mode could not be excited if there is indeed a fixed tuned resonator present at (kf_{rf} - f_o). If, for example, the resonator were at $3f_{rf} - f_o \approx 3 \times 4.18$ MHz - 0.348 = 12.19 MHz, then at f_{rf} = 4.3 MHz one would have 3 x 4.3 - 2 x 0.358 = 12.18 MHz or within the expected bandwidth to drive the n = 2 mode. For other values of kf_{rf}, i.e., k > 3, one wold have swept through the resonance at lower values of f_{rf}. On the other hand, if k $\geq$ 4, then in principle we should have seen the n = 11 mode since 4 x 4.18 - 0.348 = 16.732 MHz while 4 x 4.0 MHz + 0.333 MHz = 16.333 MHz, i.e., < f_{res}. Further studies of the cause of this instability are planned.

mvh